



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_5877
Title of the Manuscript:	Cuckoo's Search Algorithm based Technique for Exudates Detection in Diabetic Retinopathy
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This manuscript described a new computational approach to detect exudates in fundus images using Cuckoo's algorithm. Results illustrated this method has a better accuracy compared with other methods. This study will be of interest to ophthalmology as automated image processing could serve as an objective e valuation of retinal disease condition.	The inference has been incorporated in the abstract.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1, The first paragraph of section 4 (Methodology) describes general concept of DR and significance of the proposed method. It is more appropriate in "Introduction" section. 2, line 24, Here is the first time CA is mentioned in the text, and it should spell out full name. 3, line 33, "In case," should be "In case of" 4, Fig. 2: I assume this image is derived from fundus image shown Fig. 1. If this is the case, please state in figure legend and in text. 5, Last figure should be "Fig. 3" Please correct both figure legend and in text. Image 1 looks the same as Fig. 2. That should also be stated. Why Image 1 is much darker compared with other images? The legend should be more descriptive.	Appropriate corrections have been made. Corrections have been incorporated. Corrections have been incorporated. Suitably modified. Reference has been made as suggested.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		